1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 4 AT SEATTLE 5 LEA BLACK, 6 Plaintiff, 7 C15-2008 TSZ v. 8 MINUTE ORDER PRIDE MOBILITY PRODUCTS 9 CORPORATION, et al., Defendants. 10 11 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable Thomas S. Zilly, United States District Judge: 12 Plaintiff's motion for judgment on the pleadings, docket no. 40, is (1) 13 DENIED. This Court cannot decide as a matter of law, if Pride branded the product pursuant to RCW 7.72.040(2) at this time. The affirmative defenses of failure to join a 14 necessary party and apportionment of fault are available to a defendant in the context of negligence claims under RCW 7.72.030(1). See Hiner v. Bridgestone/Firestone, Inc., 138 Wn. 2d 248, 978 P.2d 505 (1999). This Court declines to convert this 12(c) motion 15 into a summary judgment motion because whether plaintiff is a product seller under 16 RCW 7.72.010(1) must await summary judgment. **(2)** Plaintiff's unopposed motion to amend their complaint, docket no. 42, is 17 GRANTED. 18 The Clerk is directed to send a copy of this Minute Order to all counsel of (3) record. 19 Dated this 27th day of January, 2017. 20 William M. McCool 21 Clerk 22 s/Karen Dews Deputy Clerk 23

MINUTE ORDER - 1